

Exhibit A

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
AND AFFILIATED PARTNERSHIPS

300 North LaSalle
Chicago, Illinois 60654

To Call Writer Directly:
(312) 862-2954
leonid.feller@kirkland.com

(312) 862-2000
www.kirkland.com

Facsimile:
(312) 862-2200

May 20, 2014

Via FedEx

Lawrence M. Elliott
620 Nicholson Street NW
Washington DC, 20011-2020

Celestine V. Elliott
620 Nicholson Street NW
Washington DC, 20011-2020

Re: Elliott et al. v. General Motors LLC D. D.C. No. 1:14-cv-00691-KBJ

Dear Mr. Elliott and Ms. Elliott:

We are co-counsel for General Motors LLC (“New GM”). You previously commenced an Action against New GM asserting certain claims based on an alleged defect in ignition switches in certain vehicles. In connection therewith, on April 21, 2014, New GM filed a Motion to Enforce the Sale Order and Injunction with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (“Bankruptcy Court”). On May 16, 2014, the Bankruptcy Court issued a Scheduling Order (“Scheduling Order”) establishing certain procedures for addressing issues raised in the Motion to Enforce. A copy of the Scheduling Order is attached hereto. The form of the Scheduling Order presented to the Bankruptcy Court was negotiated with and approved by counsel representing certain of the Plaintiffs who have filed Actions against New GM (“Designated Counsel”). Designated Counsel appeared at the May 2, 2014 Bankruptcy Court hearing and spoke on behalf of the clear majority of Plaintiffs. They have agreed to try and coordinate the efforts of Plaintiffs’ counsel in this matter. Contact information for Designated Counsel is as follows:

1. Edward Weisfelner – email: eweisfelner@brownrudnick.com; phone: 212-209-4900
2. Howard Steel – e-mail: hsteel@brownrudnick.com; phone: 212-209-4917
3. Sander Esserman – e-mail: esserman@sbep-law.com; phone: 214-969-4910
4. Peter Lockwood – e-mail: plockwood@capdale.com; phone: 202-862-5065

Pursuant to Paragraph 5(a) of the Scheduling Order (p. 5), all Plaintiffs that have filed Actions against New GM have until May 23, 2014 to enter into voluntary stipulations (“Stipulation”) with New GM staying all proceedings in their Action against New GM (and certain of its affiliates). A copy of the Stipulation for your Action is attached hereto. Plaintiffs’ Designated Counsel have reviewed and approved the form of the Stipulation. Please review the

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

Lawrence M. Elliott
Celestine V. Elliott
May 20, 2014
Page 2

Stipulation and, if you agree to its terms, please sign where indicated and e-mail or fax a copy back to each of the following counsel representing New GM by May 23, 2014:

1. Arthur Steinberg – email: asteinberg@kslaw.com; facsimile: 212-556-2222; phone: 212-556-2158
2. Scott Davidson – e-mail: sdavidson@kslaw.com; facsimile: 212-556-2222; phone: 212-556-2164
3. Richard Godfrey – e-mail: rgodfrey@kirkland.com; facsimile: 312-862-2200; phone: 312-862-2391
4. Andrew Bloomer – e-mail: abloomer@kirkland.com; facsimile: 312-862-2200; phone: 312-862-2482

If you choose not to enter into a Stipulation, pursuant to Paragraph 5(b) of the Scheduling Order, you are required to file a pleading in the Bankruptcy Court by no later than May 27, 2014 setting forth why you should not be directed to stay your Action (“No Stay Pleading”). New GM will file a response to the No Stay Pleading by June 13, 2014, and the Bankruptcy Court will hold a hearing on a date set by the Bankruptcy Court.

Please be advised that if you choose not to (i) execute a Stipulation, or (ii) file a No Stay Pleading, New GM will seek appropriate relief from the Bankruptcy Court, *inter alia*, compelling you to comply with the terms of the Sale Order and Injunction, the Scheduling Order, and/or staying your Action.

To the extent you have previously entered into an agreed stay or extension of time in the court where you commenced your Action, those stipulations and extensions of time remain in effect. However, the Bankruptcy Court’s Order supersedes any prior agreement between the parties. You are therefore required to either execute a Stipulation or file a No Stay Pleading irrespective of any prior agreed stay or extension of time.

If you have any questions, you can contact the above-referenced counsel for New GM, or any of Plaintiffs’ Designated Counsel.

Sincerely,



Leonid Feller

Enclosure

LF/mrb

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

LAWRENCE M. ELLIOTT, CELESTINE V.)	Case No. 1:14-cv-00691-KBJ
ELLIOTT, et al.,)	
Plaintiffs,)) Hon. Ketanji Brown Jackson
)	
v.)	
)	
GENERAL MOTORS LLC,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	

STIPULATION STAYING ACTION

WHEREAS, the above-referenced plaintiff(s) ("Plaintiff(s)") commenced this action ("Action") against General Motors LLC ("New GM") seeking, among other things, economic damages against New GM related to an alleged defect in certain vehicles or parts, and the recall instituted by New GM with respect thereto;

WHEREAS, on April 21, 2014, New GM filed the *Motion of General Motors LLC Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 105 and 363 to Enforce the Court's July 5, 2009 Sale Order and Injunction* ("Motion to Enforce") in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York ("Bankruptcy Court") asserting, *inter alia*, that (i) the Action violates the Order of the Bankruptcy Court, dated July 5, 2009 ("Sale Order and Injunction") approving the sale of substantially all of the assets from General Motors Corp. (n/k/a Motors Liquidation Company) ("Old GM") to New GM, and the injunction contained therein, and (ii) the Bankruptcy Court has exclusive jurisdiction to interpret and enforce the Sale Order and Injunction;

WHEREAS, the Plaintiffs oppose the Motion to Enforce;

WHEREAS, by Order of the Bankruptcy Court dated May 16, 2014 ("Scheduling Order"), the Bankruptcy Court established certain procedures to address the issues raised in the Motion to Enforce and the objections thereto. One of the procedures set forth in the Scheduling Order provides that the Plaintiff(s) shall be given until May 23, 2014 to enter into voluntary stipulations with New GM¹ for a stay of all proceedings in this Action against New GM;

WHEREAS, a hearing has been scheduled for May 29, 2014 before the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ("JPML") in *In re General Motors LLC Ignition Switch Litigation*, MDL 2543, to determine whether to consolidate and transfer this Action and other similar actions

¹ For purposes of this Stipulation, New GM shall also include General Motors Holdings LLC and General Motors Company.

(collectively, the “Ignition Switch Actions”) for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings and, if so, the District Court and District Judge (“Transferee Court”) before whom the Ignition Switch Actions will be centralized for that purpose; and

WHEREAS, subject to the terms hereof, and any further order of the Bankruptcy Court, the Plaintiff(s) have agreed to voluntarily stay this Action and any proceeding before the Transferee Court (except as set forth herein) pending a resolution by the Bankruptcy Court of the issues raised in the Motion to Enforce, and the objections thereto, or as otherwise set forth herein.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the Plaintiff(s) and New GM (collectively, the “Parties”), as follows:

1. Subject to paragraph 6 hereof, the Parties have agreed to enter into this Stipulation to stay the Action against New GM, and that Plaintiff(s), subject to further order of the Bankruptcy Court, shall not seek to further prosecute this Action during the “Interval” against New GM. For purposes hereof, (a) the “Interval” shall commence on the date of this Stipulation and shall end 30 days after a Final Order(s) is entered resolving all issues raised in the Motion to Enforce, and (b) “Final Order” shall mean the entry of an order by the Bankruptcy Court, and the time period to file an appeal of such order has expired.

2. The Parties agree that this Stipulation shall not interfere with the hearing scheduled for May 29, 2014 before the JPML, and any order by the JPML regarding whether to consolidate and transfer the Ignition Switch Actions for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings and, if so, the Transferee Court before whom the Ignition Switch Actions will be centralized for that purpose.

3. The Parties agree that if the JPML consolidates and transfers the Ignition Switch Actions to a Transeree Court, Plaintiff(s) will continue to abide by this Stipulation in the Transferee Court during the Interval, *provided, however,* that Plaintiffs may, if the Transferee Court so chooses, take such administrative actions relating to the appointment of plaintiff and defendant liaison counsel and forming a plaintiffs' steering committee or other committee of plaintiffs' counsel.²

4. This Stipulation is without prejudice to the rights of New GM to request that the Bankruptcy Court stay the Plaintiff(s) from any further proceedings before the Transferee Court, or for the Plaintiff(s) to oppose such relief.

5. The Parties agree that this Stipulation terminates when, and only to the extent that, the Bankruptcy Court grants relief from the stay of this Action as agreed to by this Stipulation; provided however if a plaintiff in a different Ignition Switch Action (as defined in the Motion to Enforce) does not sign a stipulation similar to this Stipulation, and prior to September 1, 2014 obtains a ruling from the Bankruptcy Court which permits that plaintiff to go forward in its Ignition Switch Action, the Plaintiff who signed this Stipulation reserves the right to promptly seek the same relief from the Bankruptcy Court as it applies to this Action but only if the same factual and/or legal predicate on which the other plaintiff obtained relief applies to the Plaintiff in this Action as it did to the plaintiff in the other Ignition Switch Action who obtained such relief.

6. Any Party may, after September 1, 2014, request that the Bankruptcy Court modify or terminate the stay agreed to herein for cause shown, including without limitation

² Pursuant to the Scheduling Order, the issue of whether a consolidated complaint can be filed in the Transferee Court shall be addressed at the conference scheduled to take place before the Bankruptcy Court on July 2, 2014 at 9:45 a.m.

based on any rulings by the Bankruptcy Court, or any perceived delay in the resolution of the Threshold Issues (as such term is defined in the Scheduling Order).

7. The Parties each agree to execute such documents as may be reasonably necessary to carry out the terms of this Stipulation.

8. The Parties each acknowledge that they have been represented by counsel, have jointly negotiated and prepared this Stipulation and are fully satisfied with its terms. In the event an ambiguity or question of intent or interpretation arises with respect to this Stipulation, this Stipulation shall be construed as if drafted by all Parties, and no presumption or burden of proof shall arise favoring or disfavoring any Party by virtue of the authorship of any provision of this Stipulation.

9. This Stipulation shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the substantive laws of the State of New York, without regard to the conflict of laws principles thereof.

10. This Stipulation constitutes the entire agreement and understanding between the Parties regarding the subject matter herein, and supersedes any Party's promises to or agreements with any other Party with respect to the subject matter herein. No waiver or modification of any term or condition contained herein shall be valid or binding unless in writing and executed by the Parties hereto.

11. Nothing set forth herein is intended to modify the terms of the Scheduling Order. If there are any inconsistencies or conflicts between the terms of this Stipulation and the terms of the Scheduling Order, the terms of the Scheduling Order shall control.

12. This Stipulation may be filed by either Party in the Action and in the Bankruptcy Court.

13. This Stipulation may be executed in counterparts, any of which may be transmitted by facsimile or e-mail transmission, and each of which shall be deemed an original.

DATED: May ___, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Dominic E. Draye

Dominic E. Draye (DC BN 1008820)
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
655 Fifteenth Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005-5793
Telephone: 202-879-5000
Facsimile: 202-879-5200
Email: dominic.draye@kirkland.com

Richard C. Godfrey, P.C. (to be admitted *pro hac vice*)

Robert B. Ellis, P.C. (to be admitted *pro hac vice*)

Andrew B. Bloomer, P.C. (to be admitted *pro hac vice*)

Leonid Feller (to be admitted *pro hac vice*)
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

300 North LaSalle
Chicago, IL 60654-3406
Telephone: 312-862-2000
Facsimile: 312-862-2200
Email: rgodfrey@kirkland.com
Email: rellis@kirkland.com
Email: abloomer@kirkland.com
Email: leonid.feller@kirkland.com

Attorneys for Defendant General Motors LLC

DATED: May ___, 2014

/s/ Lawrence M. Elliott

Lawrence M. Elliott
620 Nicholson Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20011-2020

Pro Se Plaintiff

DATED: May ___, 2014

/s/ Celestine V. Elliott

Celestine V. Elliott
620 Nicholson Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20011-2020

Pro Se Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on May DD, 2014, a copy of the forgoing **STIPULATION STAYING ACTION** was filed electronically. Notice of this filing will be sent by operation of the Court's electronic filing system to all parties indicated on the electronic filing receipt. Parties may access this filing through the Court's system.

DATED: May ___, 2014

/s/ Dominic E. Draye

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X-----
In re : Chapter 11
:
MOTORS LIQUIDATION COMPANY, *et al.*, : Case No.: 09-50026 (REG)
f/k/a General Motors Corp., *et al.* :
:
Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)
-----X-----
STEVEN GROMAN, ROBIN DELUCO, :
ELIZABETH Y. GRUMET, ABC FLOORING, :
INC., MARCUS SULLIVAN, KATELYN :
SAXSON, AMY C. CLINTON, AND ALLISON : Adv. Pro. No.: 14-01929 (REG)
C. CLINTON, on behalf of themselves, and all :
others similarly situated, :
:
Plaintiffs, :
:
-v- :
:
GENERAL MOTORS LLC, :
:
Defendant. :-----X-----

**SCHEDULING ORDER REGARDING (I) MOTION OF
GENERAL MOTORS LLC PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §§ 105 AND 363
TO ENFORCE THE COURT'S JULY 5, 2009 SALE ORDER AND
INJUNCTION, (II) OBJECTION FILED BY CERTAIN PLAINTIFFS IN
RESPECT THERETO, AND (III) ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NO. 14-01929¹**

Upon the Court's Order, dated April 22, 2014 ("April 22 Order"), scheduling a conference for May 2, 2014 ("Conference") to address procedural issues respecting the Motion, dated April 21, 2014 ("Motion"), of General Motors LLC ("New GM"),² pursuant to Sections 105 and 363 of the Bankruptcy Code, seeking to enforce the Sale Order and Injunction, the Objection, dated April 22, 2014 to the Motion filed by certain Plaintiffs [Dkt. No. 12629]

¹ Blacklined to show differences from Order as proposed by Counsel for the Identified Parties.

² Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Motion.

(“Objection”), and the adversary proceeding, Adv. Proc. No. 14-01929 (REG) (“Adversary Proceeding”) filed by Steven Groman *et al.* (“Groman Plaintiffs”); and due and proper notice of the Conference having been provided to counsel for the Plaintiffs, counsel for the Motors Liquidation Company GUC Trust (“GUC Trust”), counsel for certain holders of GUC Trust units that appeared at the Conference (“Unitholders”), and the Office of the United States Trustee, and it appearing that no other or further notice need be given; and it appearing that the April 22 Order encouraged Plaintiffs’ counsel to band together, to the extent possible, to avoid repetition and duplicative arguments, and the Plaintiffs have made a good faith attempt to do so;³ and the Court having considered the letters filed with the Court regarding the proposed agenda for the Conference; and the Conference having been held on May 2, 2014; and upon the record of the Conference, and the prior proceedings had herein, the Court having issued directives from the bench, which are memorialized in this Order. Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED that no discovery shall take place with respect to the Motion, the Objection or the Adversary Proceeding until further order of this Court; and it is further

ORDERED that the contested matter for the Motion, the Objection and the Adversary Proceeding shall be jointly administered by this Court and, for ease of this Court and all parties, all pleadings and other documents shall only be required to be filed on the main docket for the Chapter 11 case (Case No. 09-50026); and it is further

ORDERED that the Groman Plaintiffs shall have until May 21, 2014 to file any amendment as of right to their complaint in the Adversary Proceeding, *provided, however,* that any such amendment shall not affect the procedures set forth in this Order, absent further order of this Court; and it is further

³ Certain Plaintiffs designated the law firms Brown Rudnick, LLP; Caplin & Drysdale, Chartered; and Stutzman, Bromberg, Esserman & Plifka, PC (collectively “Designated Counsel”) to speak on their behalf at the Conference.

ORDERED that the time for New GM to answer or move with respect to the Adversary Proceeding is adjourned *sine die*; and it is further

ORDERED that the question of whether Court-ordered mediation may be useful to resolve issues in these proceedings is deferred without prejudice to any party's rights to request Court-ordered mediation at a later time; and it is further

ORDERED that the GUC Trust agrees that it shall not assert a timeliness objection to any claims that the Plaintiffs may attempt to assert against the Old GM bankruptcy estate and/or the GUC Trust, based directly or indirectly on the ignition switch issue, as a result of the Plaintiffs' delay in asserting such claims during the "Interval." For purposes hereof, (a) the "Interval" shall commence on the date of this Order and shall end 30 days after a Final Order is entered with respect to an adjudication of the Threshold Issues (as defined in this Order), and (b) "Final Order" shall mean the entry of an order by a court of competent jurisdiction, and there are no pending appeals, and the time period to file an appeal of such order has expired; and it is further

ORDERED that Wilmington Trust Company ("WTC"), as the GUC Trust Administrator, and the Unitholders, subject to WTC and such Unitholders coordinating their efforts in these proceedings to the extent reasonably practicable, shall be considered parties in interest in the contested matter concerning the Motion, the Objection, and the Adversary Proceeding, and shall have standing to appear and be heard on all issues regarding the Motion, the Objection, and the Adversary Proceeding. WTC and the Unitholders, subject to the coordination of efforts as discussed above, shall be permitted to participate in any discovery that may later be authorized by the Court; and it is further

ORDERED that the following initial case schedule shall apply to the Motion, the Objection and the Adversary Proceeding:

1. The threshold issues to be addressed by the parties ("Threshold Issues") are presently determined to be as follows:
 - a. Whether procedural due process in connection with the Sale Motion and the Sale Order and Injunction was violated as it relates to the Plaintiffs;
 - b. If procedural due process was violated as described in 1(a) above, whether a remedy can or should be fashioned as a result of such violation and, if so, against whom;
 - c. Whether a fraud on the Court was committed in connection with the Sale Motion and Sale Order and Injunction based on the alleged issues regarding the ignition switch defect ("Fraud on the Court Threshold Issue");
 - d. Whether New GM may voluntarily provide compensation to pre-petition accident victims that allege that their accident was caused by a defective ignition switch, while seeking to enforce the Sale Order and Injunction against claims asserted in the Ignition Switch Actions; and
 - e. Whether any or all of the claims asserted in the Ignition Switch Actions are claims against the Old GM bankruptcy estate (and/or the GUC Trust).⁴
2. The following schedule shall apply to the Threshold Issues:
 - a. by May 28, 2014, Designated Counsel, counsel for the Groman Plaintiffs, counsel for the GUC Trust and counsel for the Unitholders collectively, are to provide New GM with proposed stipulations of facts regarding the Threshold Issues;
 - b. by June 11, 2014, New GM is to respond to the parties set forth in Section 2(a) by stating which proposed fact stipulations can be agreed to and which cannot, and which additional proposed fact stipulations should be considered by such parties;
 - c. during the period from June 11, 2014 through and including June 30, 2014, New GM and the parties in Section 2(a) (collectively, the "Counsel for the Identified Parties") are to "meet and confer" on the proposed fact stipulations and attempt to narrow any remaining fact issues that may exist;

⁴ For the avoidance of doubt, the issue of whether a claim asserted in the Ignition Switch Actions is timely and/or meritorious against the Old GM bankruptcy estate (and/or the GUC Trust) is not a Threshold Issue.

- d. by July 1, 2014, the parties are to deliver to this Court the agreed upon stipulations of facts, and jointly identify for this Court any facts that could not be stipulated to; and
- e. a further status conference shall be held on July 2, 2014 at 9:45 a.m. (Eastern) ("July Conference") so that this Court can address any remaining disputes that may exist among the parties in respect of the Threshold Issues, including how such issues should affect further proceedings, either by way of authorizing limited discovery on such issues, or by adding other issues to the list of Threshold Issues, or by removing such issues from the list of Threshold Issues at that time. The briefing schedule for the Threshold Issues will be set at the July Conference.

3. With respect to the Fraud on the Court Threshold Issue, Counsel for the Identified Parties are to meet and confer to attempt to determine the appropriate scope of discovery for such issue. If, after good faith discussions among the Counsel for the Identified Parties they are unable to agree on the appropriate scope of discovery for the Fraud on the Court Threshold Issue, any of the Counsel for the Identified Parties shall be permitted to request that the Court remove the Fraud on the Court Threshold Issue from the list of Threshold Issues, and to defer the consideration of such issue until a later time, *provided, however,* that the Counsel for the Identified Parties are to jointly identify for the Court the area(s) of disagreement so that it can be reviewed by the Court and, if appropriate, addressed by the Court at the July Conference.

4. This Order shall not interfere with the hearing scheduled for May 29, 2014 before the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ("JPML") in *In re General Motors LLC Ignition Switch Litigation*, MDL 2543, and any order by the JPML regarding whether to consolidate and transfer the Ignition Switch Actions for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings and, if so, the District Court and District Judge ("Transferee Court") before whom the Ignition Switch Actions will be centralized for that purpose.

5. (a) Plaintiffs shall be given until May 23, 2014 to enter into voluntary stipulations with New GM [proposed addition intentionally omitted] staying all proceedings in their Ignition Switch Action against New GM (including General Motors Holdings LLC and/or General Motors Company) other than the JPML proceedings set forth in paragraph 4 above and, if the Transferee Court so chooses, proceedings in the Transferee Court for the appointment of plaintiff and defendant liaison counsel and the formation of a plaintiffs' steering committee or other committee of plaintiffs' counsel.⁵ The Order is without prejudice to the rights of any party to request that this Court stay the Plaintiff(s) from further proceedings before the Transferee Court or for any party to oppose such relief.

⁵ The issue of whether Plaintiffs may file a consolidated complaint in the Transferee Court shall be addressed at the July Conference.

(b) If a Plaintiff chooses not to enter into a voluntary stay stipulation, it shall be required to file a pleading in this Court by no later than May 27, 2014 setting forth why it should not be directed to stay its Ignition Switch Action ("No Stay Pleading"). New GM will file a response to the No Stay Pleading by June 13, 2014, and the Court shall hold a hearing on a date set by the Court. Nothing set forth in this Order shall change the burden of proof as to whether there has been a violation of the Sale Order and Injunction by Plaintiffs who do not enter into a voluntary stay stipulation. This Order is without prejudice to any party, after September 1, 2014, requesting that this Court modify the stay for cause shown, including based on any rulings in this case, or any perceived delay in the resolution of the Threshold Issues.

6. Counsel for the Identified Parties are to identify, prior to the July Conference, all issues (other than the issues identified in paragraph 1 hereof) that the Court will be asked to determine in connection with the Motion, the Objection and the Adversary Proceeding, and to state whether or not such issues are to be added to the list of Threshold Issues. Prior to the July Conference, Counsel for the Identified Parties are to "meet and confer" as to when any such issues are best decided.
7. Consideration of non-Threshold Issues shall be deferred to a later time, and all parties shall reserve their rights with respect to such issues.

ORDERED that to the extent reasonably practicable, Designated Counsel shall consult and coordinate with other bankruptcy counsel who have filed a notice of appearance on behalf of any Plaintiff(s) in connection with the matters set forth in paragraphs 2, 3 and 6 above.

ORDERED that nothing in this Order is intended to or shall preclude any other Plaintiff's counsel from taking a position in connection with any of the matters set forth in paragraphs 2, 3 and 6 above, PROVIDED that any other counsel who wishes to be heard orally with respect to such position at the Conference on July 2 shall submit and electronically file, no later than noon on July 1, a letter to the Court (with copies to all Identified Parties) summarizing the points he or she will wish to make; and PROVIDED FURTHER that any counsel who has failed to do so will not be heard orally at the July 2 Conference.

ORDERED that this Court shall retain exclusive jurisdiction to interpret and enforce this Order.

Dated: May 16, 2014
New York, New York

s/Robert E. Gerber
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE



June 19, 2014

Dear Customer:

The following is the proof-of-delivery for tracking number 770039698295.

Delivery Information:

Status:	Delivered	Delivered to:	Residence
Signed for by:	.ELILEOTT	Delivery location:	620 NICHOLSON ST NW WASHINGTON, DC 20011
Service type:	FedEx Priority Overnight	Delivery date:	May 21, 2014 10:20
Special Handling:	Deliver Weekday Residential Delivery Direct Signature Required		



Shipping Information:

Tracking number:	770039698295	Ship date:	May 20, 2014
		Weight:	0.5 lbs/0.2 kg

Recipient:

Lawrence & Celestine Elliott
620 Nicholson Street NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20011 US

Shipper:

Leonid Feller
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
300 N. LaSalle Street
Chicago, IL 60654 US

Reference

25025-0001

Thank you for choosing FedEx.